

PG_DUCKDB: DUCKING AWESOME ANALYTICS IN POSTGRES



Jelte Fennema-Nio (@JelteF)

2025-06-11



pg_duckdb is a Postgres extension that embeds DuckDB inside Postgres

Ehhhmm what???





Postgres is an amazing database



- Open source
- Many contributors
- Very stable
- Lots of built in functionality and extensible





Great at transactional workloads (OLTP)







But not at analytics... (OLAP)







Why not great at OLAP?

- No columnar storage
- Limited parallel processing





DuckDB to the rescue





Lightweight in-process SQL Analytics Engine

DuckDB is a new category of database



Transactional

Analytical

DuckDB is a new category of database



Transactional

Analytical



A small recap

Now, we have two great databases

- Postgres for transactional workloads
- DuckDB for analytical workloads





PG Analytics with DuckDB



Transactional

Analytical

PG Analytics with DuckDB





What does that look like?







What does that look like?





Ducks & Elephants are different species





So we did lots of work





How does it work?

Parser Transforms the SQL query string to syntax tree		Planner Determines the most efficient way to execute it			Executor	
					Executes the plan	
	Simplified	auerv pr	ocessing	in	Postgres	

pg_duckdb "steals" the query



pg_duckdb can read PG data







1. Use DuckDB engine
 on Postgres tables



- 1. Use DuckDB engine
 on Postgres tables
- 2. Read/write data in
 blob storage



- 1. Use DuckDB engine
 on Postgres tables
- 2. Read/write data in
 blob storage
- 3. Offload analytics to MotherDuck

DuckDB engine on Postgres tables



DuckDB engine on Postgres tables

Very simple:

SET duckdb.force_execution = true;

But is it fast???



It depends...

But sometimes yes!



ClickBench results

PostgreSQL (with indexes) pg_duckdb (with indexes) (c6a.4xlarge, 500gb gp2) (c6a.4xlarge, 500gb gp2)

 Image: A second s	Q10.	2.053s (×1.00)	2.382s (×1.16)
	Q11.	735.659s (×2.08)	353.140s (×1.00)
 Image: A start of the start of	Q12.	2.207s (×1.00)	3.453s (×1.56)
	Q13.	9.281s (×2.03)	4.557s (×1.00)
	014		240 0010 (01 00)

 \checkmark

1. Set up TPC-DS with 10GB and no indexes

- 1. Set up TPC-DS with 10GB and no indexes
- 2. Run Q1 -> $\overline{2}$ $\overline{2}$ wait 10 minutes and give up

- 1. Set up TPC-DS with 10GB and no indexes
- 2. Run Q1 -> 🗾 🖾 wait 10 minutes and give up
- 3. SET duckdb.force_execution = true;

- 1. Set up TPC-DS with 10GB and no indexes
- 2. Run Q1 -> $\overline{\underline{X}}$ $\overline{\underline{X}}$ wait 10 minutes and give up
- 3. SET duckdb.force_execution = true;
- 4. Run Q1 -> done in 450ms!

- 1. Set up TPC-DS with 10GB and no indexes
- 2. Run Q1 -> $\overline{\underline{X}}$ $\overline{\underline{X}}$ wait 10 minutes and give up
- 3. SET duckdb.force_execution = true;
- 4. Run Q1 -> done in 450ms!
- 5. Easiest query optimization ever 🎉



Read from blob storage

SELECT * FROM read_parquet('s3://<my-bucket>/netflix_daily_top_10.parquet') LIMIT 5;

Read from blob storage



SELECT r['Title'], max(r['Days In Top 10'])::int as MaxDaysInTop10 FROM read_parquet('s3://<my-bucket>/netflix_daily_top_10.parquet') r WHERE r['Type'] = 'TV Show' GROUP BY r['Title'] ORDER BY MaxDaysInTop10 DESC LIMIT 5;



A few words about resources





Lite transactional queries





A few words about resources





Analytics



A few words about resources



MotherDuck on-demand resources



Copy data to MotherDuck

CREATE TABLE hacker_news_motherduck_archive USING duckdb AS SELECT * FROM hacker_news;



Query it like normal

SELECT

EXTRACT(YEAR FROM timestamp) AS year, EXTRACT(MONTH FROM timestamp) AS month, COUNT(*) AS keyword_mentions FROM hacker_news_motherduck_archive WHERE (title LIKE '%duckdb%' OR text LIKE '%duckdb%')

GROUP BY year, month ORDER BY year ASC, month ASC;



Combine with PG data

SELECT

EXTRACT(YEAR FROM timestamp) AS year, EXTRACT(MONTH FROM timestamp) AS month, COUNT(*) AS keyword_mentions

FROM (

SELECT * FROM hacker_news_last_month UNION ALL SELECT * FROM hacker_news_motherduck_archive) WHERE

(title LIKE '%duckdb%' OR text LIKE '%duckdb%')

```
GROUP BY year, month
```

ORDER BY year ASC, month ASC;



But is it fast???



For analytics: YES!

System & Machine	Relative time (lower is better)
pg_duckdb (MotherDuck enabled) (Jumbo):	×1.52
PostgreSQL (with indexes) (c6a.4xlarge, 500gb gp2):	×32.29

Detailed Comparison

		pg_duckdb (MotherDuck enabled) (Jumbo)	PostgreSQL (with indexes) (c6a.4xlarge, 500gb gp2)
Load ti	ime:	119s (×1.00)	10357s (×87.32)
Data si	ize:	24.50 GiB (×1.00)	115.84 GiB (×4.73)
V Q	0.	0.019s (×1.00)	0.757s (×26.54)
V Q	1.	0.012s (×1.00)	0.694s (×31.87)
V Q	2.	0.024s (×1.00)	237.615s (×7065.65)
V Q	3.	0.026s (×1.00)	1.294s (×35.99)
V Q	4.	0.166s (×1.00)	7.274s (×41.42)
V Q	5.	0.200s (×1.00)	6.374s (×30.41)
V Q	6.	0.018s (×2.74)	0.000s (×1.00)
V Q	7.	0.019s (×1.00)	0.688s (×24.50)
V Q	8.	0.193s (×1.00)	8.181s (×40.41)
V Q	9.	0.262s (×1.00)	267.431s (×982.31)



Please try it

- MIT licensed
- github.com/duckdb/pg_duckdb
- Feedback welcome